## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration of this application is requested. Claims 6-14 are in the case.

## I. ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

It is noted, with appreciation, that claims 6 and 14 have been allowed. In order to expedite prosecution and without conceding to the merit of the outstanding rejection, the claims have been amended so as to be directed to the indicated allowable subject matter. Claims 1-5 and 15 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursuing subject matter corresponding to the claims in this application prior to the present amendment in a separate continuing application.

## II. THE OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION

Claims 1, 4, 5, 11/1, 12/1, 13/1 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over WO 91/05519. In response, and without conceding to the merit of this rejection, the rejected subject matter has been canceled without prejudice. Withdrawal of the outstanding obviousness rejection is accordingly believed to be in order, and is requested.

Allowance of the application is awaited.

GARMAN et al. Appl. No. 09/763,825 January 26, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:

Leonard F. Mitchard Reg. No. 29,009

LCM:Ifm 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100